

S E C R E T

Approved For Release 2002/01/07 : CIA-RDP78-05795A000300030035-3
EUROPEAN OPERATIONS COURSE

CRITIQUE

Your comments regarding the course you have just completed would be most helpful as a basis for evaluating the present program and for improvement or modification of future European Operations courses.

1. Do you feel that this course achieved its stated objectives? If not, please specify in what ways you believe the course fell short of its objectives. (Please refer to the schedule for a precise statement of the course objectives.)

- a. No X.
- b. Yes, to a slight degree_____.
- c. Yes, to a moderate degree_____.
- d. Yes, to a considerable degree_____.
- e. Yes, to an exceptional degree_____.

Comments:

The course was ^{not} of consistent high quality in substance, relevant, or relevant to officers with substantial length of service.

2. Of what value to you consider this course to you in your present or next assignment?

- a. Slight X.
- b. Moderate_____.
- c. Considerable_____.
- d. Extraordinary_____.

3. Please note the presentation(s) which you found of greatest value and which you found of least value. List as many as desired.

- a. Of greatest value:
- b. Of least value:

25X1A9A

4. How interesting have you found the course overall?

- a. Of slight interest X.
- b. Of moderate interest_____.
- c. Of great interest_____.

Approved For Release 2002/01/07 : CIA-RDP78-05795A000300030035-3

S E C R E T

Please answer the following questions as fully as possible, since one-word or extremely brief replies provide little basis upon which to judge the merits or weaknesses of the course.

5. Given the course's stated objectives, do you consider the course sufficiently comprehensive? Are there any subjects of European operational concern, which you feel should have been included in the course, but were not? Conversely, are there any subjects which you consider extraneous and feel should be dropped?

See separate memo.

6. Do you feel there was excessive duplication or overlap of material presented under the various subject headings within the course?

*Spotty and uneven in content.
No generalization possible.*

7. Did you find that the interrelationship of the various topics was adequately demonstrated in this course?

Perhaps, on overview, suitable for CTs. Someone, apparently, has very low regard for state of preparation, professional competence, or extent of experience of, at least, this class. (use reverse if necessary)

8. Do you think there was a proper balance between lecture, discussion, and reading period? If not, please specify recommendations for improvement.

*Reading material out dated, never
positively related to lecture material.
Discussion never allowed for,
when class members, particularly,
could have contributed much to their
fellows.*

9. Do you think the reading materials were adequate?

No.


10. If this course were optional, would you recommend it to others?

No.

11. Do you have any other comments, criticism or recommendations?

The accompanying memo.

25X1A9A



(Use reverse if necessary)